



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/807,221      | 03/23/2004  | Janakraj Karamchand Mehra | IPCA                | 3311             |

22925 7590 06/15/2006

PHARMACEUTICAL PATENT ATTORNEYS, LLC  
55 MADISON AVENUE  
4TH FLOOR  
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07960-7397

|                 |              |
|-----------------|--------------|
| EXAMINER        |              |
| BARTS, SAMUEL A |              |
| ART UNIT        | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1621            |              |

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

101807,221

|                                 |             |   |                     |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO./<br>CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /<br>PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|

EXAMINER

ART UNIT      PAPER

20060612

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 3/22/06 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): applicants have not addressed the examiner's rejection completely. In particular, note that the examiner relied on applicant's own admission on pages 2-4 of the specification. Applicants described in detail what the prior art taught. Applicants put forth their position in the response, but completely ignored the statements in the specification, which the examiner relied upon in his rejection. A complete response will address how applicants' statements, which describe the prior art, do not anticipate and/or render obvious the claimed invention. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

  
Samuel A Barts  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1621